

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,619	09/28/2001	C. Kevin McIntyre	10007058-1	4787
7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			HANG, VU B	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		2622	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/966,619	MCINTYRE, C. KEVIN		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Vu B. Hang	2622		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter - after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid part of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timular apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc				
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims		o.		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.		
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the prioricy application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen		<b>∆</b> \	(DTO 442)		
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:			

Art Unit: 2622

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki (US Patent 6,163,658).

Regarding Claim 1, Suzuki discloses a method for saving and restoring printer control settings (see Col.2, Line 15-36), comprising: selecting control settings for a printer using a control panel graphic user interface of the printer (see Col.10, Line 30-63); executing a printer control program for determining the selected control settings for the printer (see Col.7, Line 1-13); and saving the selected control settings to a media storage device (see Col.4, Line 58-67).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US Patent 6,163,658) in view of Chiles et al. (US Patent 6,167,567).

Regarding Claim 2, Suzuki discloses the method for saving and restoring printer control settings of Claim 1 above but fails to expressly disclose a method to update a printer firmware. Chiles, however, discloses a method to update a printer firmware (see Col.5, Line 1), with the method consisting of downloading saved selected control settings from a media storage device and updating the printer with the control settings (see Fig.2 (230), Col.3, Line 35-46 and Col.4, Line 32-42).

Art Unit: 2622

Suzuki and Chiles are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image forming systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use a printer control program to update a firmware, download, restore and update saved control settings to a printer. The motivation for doing so would be to eliminate manual printer configuration by a workstation user or a network administrator. The automation of printer configurations would be less time consuming.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US Patent 6,163,658) in view of Kimber et al. (US Patent 5,371,837).

Regarding Claim 3, Suzuki discloses the method for saving and restoring printer control settings of Claim 1 above but fails to expressly disclose selecting one additional printer to transfer the saved selected control settings to; and updating the control settings of the printer with the saved selected control settings. Kimber, however, discloses that a printer can be selected within a network (see Col.1, Line 42-49), and a means to update a printer with selected saved control settings (see Col.3, Line 60-Col.4, Line 7).

Suzuki and Kimber are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image forming systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use a control panel to select a printer in a network to transfer and update saved control settings to. The motivation for doing so would be to create a less time consuming method for configuring printers in a network. Manual printer configurations can be avoided.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino (US Patent 5,694,618) in view of Cheng et al. (US Patent 6,012,070).

Regarding Claim 4, Hibono discloses a method for saving and restoring printer control settings (see Col.2, Line 12-25 and Col.3, Line 21-35), comprising: executing a printer control program for creating and saving printer control settings (see Col.1, Line 18-24, Col.2, Line 12-25 and Col.3, Line 21-35); using the printer control program to select at least one printer associated with the printer control program (see Col.1, Line 18-24 and Col.7, Line 21-22); retrieving available control settings for at least one selected printer (see Col.4, Line 25-33); displaying the retrieved available control settings for selection by a user

Art Unit: 2622

(see Col.4, Line 25-33); selecting the desired control settings from the retrieved available control settings (see Col.2, Line 17-22); saving the desired selected control settings (see Col.3, Line 24-34); and updating at least one selected printer with the desired control settings (see Col.6, Line 5-8). Hibino fails to expressly disclose saving the selected desired control setting for later retrieval. Cheng, however, discloses storing the desired control setting for later retrieval (see Col.4, Line 58-65).

Hibino and Cheng are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image forming systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include to the method for saving and restoring printer control settings (disclosed by Hibino) a mechanism for saving the desired selected control settings in a storage media, such as a database, for later retrieval. The motivation for doing so would be to have an easy access to the desired print control settings or reusable printing templates for targeted customized printings.

Regarding Claim 5, Hibino further discloses the use of a computer to execute the printer control program (see Col.1, Line 42-46).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino (US Patent 5,694,618) in view of Cheng et al. (US Patent 6,012,070), and in further view of Kulakowski et al. (US Patent 6,229,621).

Regarding Claim 6, Hibino and Cheng discloses the method of Claim 4 but fail to expressly disclose a means for selecting a group of printers. Kulakowski, however, discloses a mechanism for selecting multiple printers in a network environment (see Col.2, Line 63- Col.3, Line 3).

Hibino, Cheng and Kulakowski are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image forming systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to add the capability of selecting multiple printers in a network environment for printer configurations. The motivation for doing so would be to allow a workstation user or a network administrator to configure multiple printers with the same desired control settings simultaneously. This would reduce time in configuring the printers as oppose to configuring each one individually.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino (US Patent 5,694,618) in view of Cheng et al. (US Patent 6,012,070).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding Claim 7, Hibino futher discloses retrieving available control settings from at east one printer (see Col.4, Line 22 –33).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino (US Patent 5,694,618) in view of Cheng et al. (US Patent 6,012,070), and in further view of Kimber et al. (US Patent 5,371,837).

Regarding Claim 8, Hibino and Cheng discloses the method of Claim 4 but fail to expressly disclose a means for retrieving available control settings from a database. Kimber, however, discloses a mechanism for retrieving print control settings from a database (see Col.4, Line 7-19).

Hibino, Cheng and Kimber are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image forming systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to create a method to retrieve available control settings from database storage. The motivation for doing so would be allow multiple printers within a network easy access to the control settings. At times, the storage for the settings can be too large for the media storage in each individual printer in the network to hold. Thus, this method would improve both the retrieval procedure and the control settings storage in a network environment.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino (US Patent 5,694,618) in view of Cheng et al. (US Patent 6,012,070).

Regarding Claim 9, Hibino further discloses displaying retrieved control settings in graphical user interface format (see Fig.1 and Col.2, Line 1-11).

Claims 10-11, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino (US Patent 5,694,618) in view of Cheng et al. (US Patent 6,012,070), and in further view of Chiles et al. (US Patent 6,167,567).

Regarding Claim 10, Hibino and Cheng discloses the method of Claim 4 but fail to expressly disclose a means to update the control settings in a predefined schedule. Chiles, however, discloses a method to update the control settings in a predefined schedule (see Col.4, Line 32-42).

Hibino, Cheng and Chiles are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image forming systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in

Application/Control Number: 09/966,619 Page 6

Art Unit: 2622

the art to have a printer control program to perform control settings update on a predefined schedule. The motivation for doing so would be to allow one or more printers that have had their control settings changed by workstation users be reset or updated with the stored settings. The settings used by previous workstation users may not suit most of the users in the network environment. Thus, it is necessary to have control settings update on a predefined schedule.

Regarding Claims 11, 16 and 20, Hibino and Cheng discloses the method of Claim 4 but fail to expressly disclose a means for automatically updating the control settings following a firmware upgrade. Chiles, however, discloses a mechanism for automatically updating the control settings following a firmware upgrade (see Col.1, Line 7-11 and Col.3, Line 1-5).

Hibino, Cheng and Chiles are combinable since they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image forming systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to create a method to automatically update the printer control settings following a firmware upgrade. The motivation for doing so is to ensure the predefined or new control settings are restored to the printer following a firmware upgrade since the control setting will be lost during the upgrade process. This would also eliminate the need for manual configurations following a firmware upgrade.

Claims 12-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino (US Patent 5,694,618) in view of Cheng et al. (US Patent 6,012,070).

Regarding Claim 12, Hibino discloses a document production system for setting and maintaining printing control settings (see Col.2, Line 12-25 and Col.3, Line 21-35), comprising: at least one printer for printing documents conforming to stored control settings stored in the memory of at least one printer (see Fig.1 (1) and Col.2, Line 10-11); and a printer control program for retrieving control settings from at least one printer (see Col.4, Line 25-33), saving the control settings (see Col.3, Line 24-30), downloading the control settings (see Col.3, Line 65 – Col.4, Line 8) and updating the control settings in the memory of at least one printer (see Col.6, Line 5-15). Hibino fails to expressly disclose a mechanism for saving customized control settings for later use. Cheng, however, discloses a database system for storing customized print control settings (see Col.4, Line 58-65 and Col.11, Line 25-28).

Art Unit: 2622

Hibino and Cheng are combinable since they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image forming systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include to the document production system for saving and maintaining printing control settings (disclosed by Hibino), a database system for storing customized print control settings for later use. The motivation for doing so would be to enable the document production system to have an easy access to the desired print control settings or reusable printing templates. For targeted customized printings, the database system would enhance the printing efficiency of the document production system.

Regarding Claim 13, Hibino further discloses a printer control program that is resident in the memory of a printer (see Col.3, Line 24-29).

Regarding Claim 14, Hibino and Cheng disclose the document production system as described in Claim 12 above. Hibino further discloses a storage media for receiving control settings from the printer control program (see Col.3, Line 24-34).

Regarding Claim 15, Hibino and Cheng disclose the document production system as described in Claim 12 above. Hibino further discloses a storage media for providing a printer control program with control settings to download from (see Col.3, Line 34-34).

Regarding Claim 17, Hibino and Cheng disclose the document production system as described in Claim 12 above. Hibino further discloses the use of a computer to execute the printer control program (see Fig.1 and Col.1, Line 12-14).

Regarding Claim 18, Hibino and Cheng disclose the document production system as described in Claims 12 and 17 above. Hibino further discloses a document production system consisting of a central processing unit for executing the printer control program (see Fig.2 (7)), a storage media for storing a printer control program (see Fig.2 (10)), a display device for displaying the control settings retrieved (see Fig.2 (4)), an input device for selecting desired control settings from a printer (see Fig.2 (5)) and a communication link for communicating with a printer (see Fig.2 (2)).

Regarding Claim 19, Hibino and Cheng disclose the document production system as described in Claims 12 and 17 above. Hibino further discloses a function for manipulating the control settings of a printer (see Col.2, Line 17-22).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,619 Page 8

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of

the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Vu B. Hang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0582. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vu Hang

Assistant Examiner

Art Unit 2622

JOSEPH R. POKEZYWA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph R Phys

Art Unit: 2622

Page 9